

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,279		08/02/2001	Avner Yayon	81408-4000	5335
28765	7590	06/14/2004		EXAMINER	
WINSTO			LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1400 L STREET, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	C 20005-3502	1647		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/921,279	YAYON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert Landsman	1647				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period fo		UO OET TO EVENE A MONTH	(C) EDOM				
THE - Externation - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or provided the provided above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	•	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
, –	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	*					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage				
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	- h				
`	and and an analysis and a deliver for a flot	2. 2.5 co. a.i. sa copiso Hot roccive	 -				
Attachmen 1) Notice	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/921,279

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Election/Restriction

- A. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 13-16, drawn to an in vitro screening assay in muscle cells for FGFR-mediated malignant cell transformation wherein the downstream signaling event is FGFR tyrosine phosphorylation, or activation of a signal transduction pathway, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
 - II. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6-12, 13-16, drawn to an in vitro screening assay in muscle cells for FGFR-mediated malignant cell transformation wherein the downstream signaling event is a cell-differentiation-related event, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
 - III. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 17-21, drawn to an in vitro screening assay in chondrocytes for FGFR-mediated malignant cell transformation wherein the downstream signaling event is FGFR tyrosine phosphorylation, or activation of a signal transduction pathway, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
 - IV. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6-12, 17-21, drawn to an in vitro screening assay in chondrocytes for FGFR-mediated malignant cell transformation wherein the downstream signaling event is a cell-differentiation-related event, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
 - V. Claims 22-32, drawn to an in vivo screening assay for FGFR-mediated malignant cell transformation, classified in class 800, subclass 3.
 - VI. Claims 33-35, drawn to a stable cell line, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V and VI are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the cell line can be used to produce a protein.

Inventions I-V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Art Unit: 1647

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

C. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17 (h).

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 June 11, 2004

> ROBERT LANDSMAN PATENT EXAMINER